

Sinclair Broadcast Group's recent actions have illustrated the dangers to localism caused by media consolidation. Their showing a partisan anti-Kerry hitpiece under the label of "news" is a breach of the their obligation to use the public airwaves to serve the public interest..

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership restrictions, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.